

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/529,38	3 08/10/	00 MEIER		G	306.38372X00
	020457 QM12/1024 ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			コ	EXAMINER	
					NGUYEN, T	
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ARLINGTON VA 22209		WIII DINCE!		372 <i>6</i>	·
					DATE MAILED:	10/24/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/529,383

Applicant(s)

Meier et al.

Examiner

Trinh Nguyen

Art Unit **3726** 

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely.</li> </ul>	ation.					
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication.</li> </ul>	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this a statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
<ul> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
Status	2000					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 10, 2000						
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) X Claim(s) 1-14	is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-14</u>	is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
application from the International Bure						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the state of th						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	, priority under 33 0.3.C. s 113(e).					
Attachment(s)						
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:						

Art Unit:

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37

CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### **Arrangement of the Specification**

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
  - 1. Field of the Invention.
  - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (I) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

Art Unit:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 5, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d), the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d), and the term "and/or" is an alternative form.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 8, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanamaru et al. (US 4,829,950).

Art Unit:

Kanamaru et al. teach a method of increasing the wear-resistance of a work piece of a valve system used in automotive engines by form-fitting by means of cold backward extrusion a core/disk member (19) to the work piece (21) (see Figures 5A & 5B and lines 1-45 of col. 5). Kanamaru et al.'s method further indicates that the work piece material is an alloy steel and the core member is a hard metal.

With respect to claim 8, note that Figure 5B shows the use of a bore in which a displaceable punch (20) connects the work piece to the core/disk member is arranged in an extrusion sleeve liner (23).

With respect to claim 11, note that Kanamaru et al.'s punch (26) is a hollow punch (see Figure 5C).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 4-7 and 9, 10, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanamaru et al. (US 4,829,950).

With respect to claim 4, Kanamaru et al. teach the claimed invention except for indicating that the core material is a ceramic sintered material. However, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit:

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select such a well known and conventional material, since to do so would have been considered an obvious material selection and design preference depending on the cost and availability of a particular material.

With respect to claims 5-7, Kanamaru et al. teach the claimed invention except for indicating that the core material has additional shaped elements, namely rounded-off notches areas, hollow spaces, undercuts, knurling, and/or tapers. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the core material of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. In re Dailey et al., 149 USPQ 47.

With respect to claims 9, 10, 12, and 13, it is noted that the recited structure limitations such as a displaceable ejector, a constriction, a clearance, and a further displaceable punch have not been given any patentable weight because these structure limitations do not affect the method in a manipulative sense.

#### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 9. disclosure and are cited on form PTO-892 encloses herewith.

Official documents related to the instant application may be submitted to the Technology Center 3700 mail center by facsimile at (703) 305-3579/3580.

Art Unit:

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082.

2 CARC Auzzza

ttn

October 18, 2001